



INDIVIDUAL SPECIES VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT WORKSHEETS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sensitivity	and Exposure	e Assessment
Jensinara	aliu Expusult	: M33C33111C111

1.	Sensitivities to Climate and Climate-Driven Factors	1
2.	Sensitivities to Disturbance Regimes	3
	Future Climate Exposure	
	Life History	
5.	Dependencies	6
	Sensitivity and Current Exposure to Non-Climate Stressors	
	Other Sensitivities	
Adapti	ive Capacity Assessment	
1.	Extent, Status, and Dispersal Ability	10
2.	Barriers to Dispersal	11
3.	Intraspecific/Life History Diversity	12
4.	Resistance	13
5.	Management Potential	14
6.	Other Adaptive Capacity Factors	15





Prioritize the gray boxes in each section. If there is not enough time to complete the white boxes, the project team may populate these after the workshop and ask participants to review answers later.

Species:

1. Sensitivities to Climate and Climate-Driven Factors

Sensitivity involves factors that <u>currently</u> shape the species; exposure involves <u>future</u> climate changes that could affect the species, and is covered in another section below.

Species sensitivity to climate and climate-driven factors may be direct (e.g., physiological, phenological) or indirect (e.g., ecological relationships).

- (1) Physiological sensitivity refers to a species' physiological ability to tolerate changes that are higher or lower than the range that they currently experience. Species that are able to tolerate a wide range of climatic factors may be considered less sensitive.
- (2) Phenological sensitivity refers to a species' ability to phenologically track climate (e.g., temperature). Species that cannot phenologically track environmental changes may be considered more sensitive.
- (3) Species' ecological relationships may also be affected by climate or climate-driven factors. Ecological relationships could include: predator/prey, foraging, competition, habitat, pollination, dispersal, symbiont/mutualist/parasite, and others. For example, climate-driven changes in Clark's nutcracker distribution or behavior could have a significant impact on whitebark pine regeneration, as this species is dependent on the Clark's nutcracker for seed dispersal. Ecological relationships significantly affected by small changes in climate and climate-driven factors likely have higher sensitivity.

Instructions

Air temperature

Step 1: Using the list provided below, identify the factors that the species is sensitive to. Consider physiological, phenological, and ecological relationship sensitivity to climate and climate-driven factors.

Step 2: For those factors that the species is sensitive to, estimate the degree of sensitivity, and your level of confidence in your estimate of sensitivity.

Water temperature

Step 3: If you have time, indicate any references that you feel are particularly relevant to your answers.

Timing of snowmelt & runoff

EACTOR		DEGREE OF SENSITIVITY	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3	DELEVANT DECEDENCI		
	Snowpack amount	Extreme events: storms		Other (please specify)		
	Precipitation (timing)	ation (timing) Altered stream flow regimes		Altered stream flow regimes Extreme events: drought		Extreme events: drought
	Precipitation (amount)	Soil moisture		Extreme events: heat waves		
7 toportator o				Trate: temperature		

FACTOR	DEGREE OF SENSITIVITY 1 (low) – 5 (high)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)	RELEVANT REFERENCES





	DEGREE OF	CONFIDENCE	
FACTOR	SENSITIVITY	1 (low) – 3	RELEVANT REFERENCES
FACTOR	1 (low) – 5 (high)	(high)	RELEVANT REFERENCES
Do any of the climate or climate-d describe how the species benefits.			pecies? If so, list the factor and
Comments: Provide any comments to s	upport or clarify your co	nclusions above.	





2. Sensitivities to Disturbance Regimes

Natural disturbance regime is a concept that describes the pattern of disturbances that shape an ecosystem over a long time scale; it is distinguished from a single disturbance event because it describes a spatial disturbance pattern, a frequency and intensity of disturbances, and a resulting ecological pattern over space and time.

Species may be at greater risk of decline or elimination even in response to small changes in disturbance regimes. For example, altered fire regimes in grassland habitats may increase invasion rates and abundance of non-native annual grasses and weed species that outcompete native species. Changes in disturbance regimes may be either good or bad for the species.

Wildfire Flooding Insects Other (please describe) Disease Wind Grazing Overall, how sensitive is the species to the circled disturbance regimes? 1 – 5 (1=low sensitivity; 5=high sensitivity) Confidence in the sensitivity to disturbance regimes: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)	Circle all disturbance regimnone apply, do not circle any.	es to which the species is s	ensitive (conside	er both magnitude and frequency): <i>If</i>
Overall, how sensitive is the species to the circled Confidence in the sensitivity to disturbance	Wildfire	Flooding	Insects	Other (please describe)
	Disease	Wind	Grazing	
disturbance regimes? 1 – 5 (1=low sensitivity; 5=high sensitivity) regimes: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)	Overall, how sensitive is th	e species to the circled	Confidence	in the sensitivity to disturbance
	disturbance regimes? 1 – 5 (1=low sensitivity; 5=high sensitivity)		ity) regimes: 1 -	- 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)

Comments and Citations: Briefly describe your selection of disturbance regimes above, detailing how the specified disturbance regime affects the species.





3. Future Climate Exposure

Climate exposure involves projected <u>future</u> climate changes that could affect the species and the likely degree of exposure to those changes.

Instructions

Step 1: Using the list provided below, identify the future climate and climate-driven changes most relevant to consider for this species.

Step 2: For those climate and climate-driven changes that the species is likely to be affected by, estimate the degree of exposure and your level of confidence in your estimate of exposure. Use the information provided on projected future climate changes for the Central Valley to inform your estimate of degree of exposure.

Step 3: If you have time, indicate any potential areas of refugia from each climate or climate-driven change.

Increased air temperature Earlier snowmelt & runoff Increased wildfire

Changes in precipitation (amount) Increased water temperatures Extreme events: more heat waves

Changes in precipitation (timing) Lower stream flows Extreme events: more drought

Decreased snowpack Increased flooding Other (please specify)

CLIMATE OR	DEGREE OF		
CLIMATE-DRIVEN	EXPOSURE	CONFIDENCE	
CHANGE	1 (low) – 5 (high)	1 (low) – 3 (high)	Potential Areas of Refugia from Change?

Overall confidence in your assessment of exposure: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)





4. Life History

Species reproductive strategy may influence its sensitivity to climate change; for example, species with longer generation times and fewer offspring may be at increased extinction risk under long-term climate change. Species with a short generation time that produce many offspring may be better able to take advantage of climate changes.

Species with a short generation time that produce many offspring may climate changes.	be better able to take advantage of
• Produces many offspring and has a short generation time (e.g., fruit fly) = R-selection • Produces fow efforcing and has high parental investment (e.g., elaphant) =	Confidence in your assessment of the species' reproductive strategy: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
 Produces few offspring and has high parental investment (e.g., elephant) = K-selection A mid-range example would be a species that produces 3-5 young/year 	
Select a value that corresponds to the reproductive strategy above. 1 – 5 (1=r-selection; 5=k-selection) ————	
Average length of time to reproductive maturity (in days):	





5. Dependencies

Species that use multiple habitats or utilize multiple prey or forage species are likely less sensitive to climate change (e.g., generalists). Conversely, species with very narrow habitat needs or habitat specialization, single prey or forage species, or dependence on another sensitive species may have a higher likelihood of decline if climate change significantly affects the habitat or species they are dependent upon (e.g., specialists). For example, species that depend on ephemeral wetlands or deep snowpack are likely to be susceptible to climate impacts such as increased temperatures or changes in precipitation regimes.

increased temperatures or changes in precipitation regimes.	
Overall, how much does the species depend on one or more sensitive habitat types? (e.g. vernal pools) 1 – 5 (1=low dependency; 5=high dependency)	Confidence in habitat dependency: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
List any sensitive habitats upon which this species depends. Sensitive habitats could include wetled ponds, and balds, among others)	ands/vernal pools, grasslands, seeps/springs, deep, cool
How much does the species depend on a specific prey or forage species? 1 – 5 (1=low dependency; 5=high dependency)	Confidence in prey/forage dependency: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
Are there other critical dependencies that have not been addressed that influence the species' sensitivity to climate change (e.g., reproductive dependency)? If so, list below and rank degree of dependency. 1 – 5 (1=low dependency; 5=high dependency)	Confidence in other dependency: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
List any other dependencies. If none, write N/A. Other critical dependencies could include host plan regimes, etc.	t species, pollinators, seed dispersal, specific disturbance
Broadly, where does this species fall on the spectrum of generalist to specialist? 1 – 5 (1=generalist; 5=specialist)	Confidence in generalist/specialist: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence) ————





6. Sensitivity and Current Exposure to Non-Climate Stressors

Sensitivity of the species to climate change impacts may be highly influenced by the existence, extent of, and current exposure to non-climate stressors. Although a species may be sensitive to a non-climate stressor, if it is not currently exposed to it/affected by it, the overall sensitivity of the species will be lower.

Instructions

Step 1: Using the list provided below, identify the non-climate stressors most likely to increase sensitivity of the species.

Step 2: For those non-climate stressors that the species is likely to be affected by, estimate the degree of current exposure, and your level of confidence in your estimate of current exposure.

Step 3: Indicate whether current exposure to a non-climate stressor occurs across the study area or is highly localized. If the current exposure occurs in a very particular location, indicate that specific location.

Urban/Suburban development Groundwater overdraft Roads, highways, trails Pollution & poisons
Impervious surfaces Invasive & other problematic species Land use change Nutrient loading
Dams, levees, & water diversions Agriculture & rangeland practices Other (please specify)

NON-CLIMATE STRESSOR (add specific details about stressor – e.g., what kind of agricultural practices or land use change?)	DEGREE STRESSOR AFFECTS SENSITIVITY 1 (low) – 5 (high)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)	IS EXPOSURE CONSISTENT ACROSS STUDY AREA OR HIGHLY LOCALIZED? (if localized, identify locations)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)





NON-CLIMATE STRESSOR (add specific details about stressor – e.g., what kind of agriculture or land use change?)	DEGREE STRESSOR AFFECTS SENSITIVITY 1 (low) – 5 (high)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)	IS EXPOSURE CONSISTENT ACROSS STUDY AREA OR HIGHLY LOCALIZED? (if localized, identify locations)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)
J J	, , , ,	, , , ,		, , , ,
Comments and Citations: Briefly describe how each	h of the stressors selected ab	ove are likely to make th	e species more sensitive to climate change	





7	Ot	hei	r Se	nci	ti\	/iti	٥٥
	ΟL				LIV	/ I L I '	

Are there other critical factors that have not been addressed that influence the sensitivity of the species? List below any other factor that you may consider critical to understanding the potential response of this species to climate change that was not represented with the previous questions. If no other factors apply, write N/A and specify your confidence associated with this question.	Collectively, to what degree do these factors influence species' sensitivity? 1 – 5 (1=low degree; 5=high degree)	
Confidence in the degree to which these factors influence species sensitivity: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)		
Comments and Citations: Describe any "other sensitivities" and how t	hey affect the species.	





Prioritize the gray boxes in each section. If there is not enough time to complete the white boxes, the project team may populate these after the workshop and ask participants to review answers later.

Species:

1. Extent, Status, and Dispersal Ability

Species that are currently widespread in their geographic extent, with a robust population status, connectivity, and a high ability to disperse likely have higher adaptive capacity. These species may be more likely to withstand and persist into the future despite climatic and non-climatic stressors.

Species that are endemic, threatened or endangered, occur as isolated or fragmented populations, and/or exhibit limited ability to disperse likely have lower adaptive capacity.

What is the geogra	nhic extent of	the species? 1 – 5 (1=endemic to r	my Confidence in extent: 1 – 3 (1=low
particular area; 5=trans	-	the species. 1 3 (1-endenne to r	confidence; 3=high confidence)
particular area, 5 trans			confidence, a might confidence,
			
18/h at ia tha a a a a a l	.:	uha anasias2 a saa	Confidence in status, 4 . 4 . 4
• •	tion status of	the species? 1 – 5 (1=endangered;	
5=robust)			confidence; 3=high confidence)
What is the popula	tion connectiv	ity for the species? 1-5	Confidence in connectivity: 1 – 3 (1=low
(1=isolated/quite fragn			confidence; 3=high confidence)
			
What is the ability	of the species	to disperse? 1 – 5 (1=low ability;	Confidence in dispersal ability: 1 – 3
5=high ability)	·	•	(1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
			
Maximum annual o	dispersal distar	ICE: The maximum average distance	a Confidence in maximum annual
		v population in a more suitable habit	
		es could spread across the coast or	5=high confidence)
ocean in response to cli	imate change. Thi	s should reflect a distance that is	
feasible.			
Circle one.			
>100 km	50-75 km	5-25 km	
75-100 km	25-50km	1-5 km	
		<1 km	
Comments and City	ations. Drawide a	unu commente or citatione to cumpert	

Comments and Citations: *Provide any comments or citations to support or clarify your conclusions above.*





2. Barriers to Dispersal

Species that are poorer dispersers, in general, are more susceptible to climate change, leading to less adaptive capacity. However, multiple or significant barriers to dispersal can also increase the vulnerability of species with high innate dispersal ability.

Instructions

Step 1: Using the list provided below, identify the most relevant barriers to dispersal for this species.

Step 2: For each barrier to dispersal, specify the type of barrier, estimate the degree of to which the barrier affects species dispersal, and estimate your level of confidence in your assessment of the impact of the barrier.

Step 3: If you have time, indicate any references that you feel are particularly relevant to your answers.

Urban/Suburban developmentAgricultural & rangeland practicesLand use changeEnergy production & miningInvasive/Non-native speciesRiprapRoads, highways, trailsDams, water diversions, & leveesGeologic features

Other (please specify)

BARRIER TO DISPERSAL (specify type of barrier – e.g., conversion to vineyard)	DEGREE BARRIER AFFECTS SPECIES DISPERSAL 1 (low) – 5 (high)	CONFIDENCE 1 (low) – 3 (high)	RELEVANT REFERENCES AND COMMENTS

Comments and Citations: *Provide any comments or citations to support or clarify your conclusions above.*





3. Intraspecific/Life History Diversity

<u>Life history diversity</u>: Species with a diversity of life history strategies (e.g., variations in age at maturity, reproductive or nursery habitat use, or resource use) may be more resilient to climate change.

<u>Genetic diversity</u>: Species with characteristics such as faster generation times, genetic diversity, heritability of traits, larger population size, or multiple populations with connectivity among them to allow for gene flow likely exhibit higher adaptive capacity.

<u>Phenotypic and behavioral plasticity:</u> Species with the capacity to express different traits (e.g., phenology, behavior, physiology) in response to environmental variation likely have higher adaptive capacity. For example, many species exhibit phenotype plasticity in response to inter-annual variation in temperature and precipitation.

Instructions

Step 1: For each characteristic listed below estimate the degree of diversity or plasticity and your level of confidence in your estimate.

Step 2: If you have time, indicate any references that you feel are particularly relevant to your answers.

CHARACTERISTIC	DEGREE OF DIVERSITY OR PLASTICITY	CONFIDENCE	COMMENTS AND DELEVANT DEFENSES
CHARACTERISTIC	1 (low) – 5 (high)	1 (low) – 3 (high)	COMMENTS AND RELEVANT REFERENCES
Life history diversity			
Genetic diversity			
Behavioral plasticity			
Phenotypic plasticity			

Comments and Citations: Provide any comments or citations to describe or clarify the diversity of life history strategies for the species, characteristics that may allow the species to adapt evolutionarily, or how the species is able to modify its physiology or behavior.





4. Resistance

Some species may be more resistant to changes, stressors, or maladaptive human responses; these species likely exhibit higher adaptive capacity.

Resistance refers to the stasis of a species in the face of change. Some species may have higher tolerance thresholds than others in response to climate perturbations, leading to higher adaptive capacity. For example, species exhibiting drought adaptations (e.g., dormancy) may be better able to survive and/or withstand significant changes in the face of altered water availability. Alternatively, maladaptive human interventions can reduce the resistance of a species by accelerating rates or severity of change, leading to lower adaptive capacity.

To what degree is the species resistant to the impacts of stressors/maladaptive human responses? 1 – 5 (1=low degree; 5=high degree)	Confidence in resistance: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)	
		
Comments and Citations: Provide any comments or citations to support or clarify your conclusions above.		

Worksheets for the Central Valley Landscape Conservation Project. Copyright EcoAdapt 2015.





5. Management Potential

Management potential reflects our ability to impact the adaptive capacity and resilience of a species to climatic changes.

Management potential can be evaluated in two ways:

- (1) Societal value: Is the species highly valued? Species with a high societal value likely have higher adaptive capacity, as people may have a greater interest in protecting and/or maintaining them and the ecosystem services they provide.
- (2) Managing or alleviating climate impacts: Can climate impacts on the species be managed or alleviated? If human intervention or management has a high likelihood of alleviating climate impacts, the adaptive capacity of a species is likely higher. The costs and benefits of management actions will vary depending on the species; actions will be most feasible when the species and/or its services are culturally and economically valued and the costs of implementing actions are low.

How much do people value this species?	Confidence in species value: 1 – 3 (1=low
1 – 5 (1=low value; 5=high value)	confidence; 3=high confidence)
	
Describe species value.	
	I
How much societal support (e.g., financial, regulatory, legislative)	Confidence in societal support: 1 – 3
is there for managing or conserving this species? 1 – 5 (1=low	(1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
support; 5=high support)	
Describe societal support.	
Describe societai support.	
To what degree can agriculture and rangelands	Confidence in degree: 1 – 3 (1=low
benefit/support/increase resilience of this species? 1 – 5 (1=low	confidence; 3=high confidence)
degree; 5=high degree)	
Describe how agriculture and rangelands benefit/support/increase	resilience of this species.
	·





To what degree would extreme events (e.g., flooding, extended drought) influence societal support for taking action? 1 – 5 (1=low degree; 5=high degree) Describe the type of event that may influence societal support.	Confidence in degree: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
What is the likelihood of or support for retired agriculture land	Confidence in likelihood: 1 – 3 (1=low
being converted to maintain or enhance this species? 1 – 5 (1=low likelihood; 5=high likelihood)	confidence; 3=high confidence)
Describe likelihood of or support for retired agriculture land being species.	converted to maintain or enhance this
What is the likelihood of managing or alleviating climate impacts for this species? 1 – 5 (1=low likelihood; 5=high likelihood)	Confidence in likelihood: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence; 3=high confidence)
Describe likelihood of managing or alleviating climate impacts.	
6. Other Adaptive Capacity Factors	
Are there other critical factors that have not been addressed that may affect the species' adaptive capacity? List below any other factor that you may consider critical to understand the potential adaptive response of the species to climate change that has not been addressed yet. If no other factors apply, write N/A and specify your confidence associated with this question.	Collectively, to what degree do these factors affect the adaptive capacity of the species? 1 – 5 (1=low degree; 5=high degree)
Confidence in the degree to which these factors affect the species 3=high confidence)	' adaptive capacity: 1 – 3 (1=low confidence;
Comments and Citations: Describe any other adaptive capacity factors for the	species.